

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

	T		I	·
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,702	08/21/2006	Richard Kim	6750-189-999	3898
20583 JONES DAY	7590 05/01/2008 S DAY		EXAMINER	
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017			HOLLERAN, ANNE L	
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/567,702 KIM, RICHARD Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANNE L. HOLLERAN 1643 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2.4.8.10-15.18.22.27.30.34 and 36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 2, 4, 8, 10-15, 18, 22, 27, 30, 34, and 36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment filed 2/2/2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 16, 17, 19-21, 23-26, 28, 29, 31-33, 35 and 37-46 are canceled.

Claims 2, 4, 8, 10-15, 18, 22, 27, 30, 34, and 36 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

First election of species:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-1;

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-2;

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-3; and

At least one ErbB receptor, where the ErbB receptor is ErbB-4.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,702

Art Unit: 1643

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP
§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

All claims are readable on each of the species, except for claim 30, which does not read on ErbB-1.

The following claim(s) are generic: 2, 4, 8, 10-15, 18, 22, 27, 34 and 36.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the concept of ErbB receptor as a prognostic indicator is known in the prior art, and therefore, does not make a contribution over the prior art as whole. For example, Bewick (Bewick, M. et al., Bone Marrow Transplantation, 24: 377-384, 1999) teaches measuring ErbB-2 in the plasma of breast cancer patients that have undergone high-dose chemotherapy and autologous blood stem cell support (see abstract and page 378-379).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,702 Page 4

Art Unit: 1643

Second election of species:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

invention. These species are defined to lack unity of invention occause they are not so mixed a

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

An ErbB receptor probe, where the ErbB receptor probe is a nucleic acid;

An ErbB receptor probe, where the ErbB receptor probe is a protein; and

An ErbB receptor probe, where the ErbB receptor probe is a small molecule.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

All claims are readable on each of the species, except for claims 13, 14, 15, which does not read on probes that are nucleic acids or small molecules.

The following claim(s) are generic: 2, 4, 8, 10-12, 18, 22, 27, 30, 34 and 36.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,702

Art Unit: 1643

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the concept of an ErbB probe for measuring a level of an ErbB receptor is known in the prior art, and therefore, does not make a contribution over the prior art as whole. For example, Bewick (Bewick, M. et al., Bone Marrow Transplantation, 24: 377-384, 1999) teaches measuring ErbB-2 in the plasma of breast cancer patients that have undergone high-dose chemotherapy and autologous blood stem cell support (see abstract and page 378-379) using a monoclonal antibody in a commercially available kit (see page 378).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Holleran, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0833. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached on (571) 272-0832. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/567,702 Page 6

Art Unit: 1643

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile

 $transmission. \ The \ faxing \ of \ such \ papers \ must \ conform \ to \ the \ notice \ published \ in \ the \ Official$

Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Official Fax number for Group 1600 is (571)

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Anne L. Holleran Patent Examiner

April 29, 2008

/Alana M. Harris, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643